arXiv:1501.00426v1 [math.CV] 2 Jan 2015

DECOMPOSITIONS AND RESIDUE OF MEROMORPHIC FUNCTIONS WITH LINEAR POLES IN THE LIGHT OF THE GEOMETRY OF CONES

LI GUO, SYLVIE PAYCHA, AND BIN ZHANG

Abstract. Germs of meromorphic functions with linear poles at zero naturally arise in various contexts in mathematics and physics. We provide a decomposition of the algebra of such germs into the holomorphic part and a linear complement by means of an inner product using our results on cones and associated fractions in an essential way. Using this decomposition, we generalize the graded residue on germs of meromorphic functions in one variable to a graded residue on germs of meromorphic fractions in several variables with linear poles at zero and prove that it is independent of the chosen inner product. When this residue is applied to exponential discrete sums on lattice cones, we obtain exponential integrals, giving a first relation between exponential sums and exponential integrals on lattice cones. On the other hand, this decomposition of meromorphic germs also provides a key ingredient in the Birkhoff-Hopf type factorization [8] through which we revisited Berline and Vergne's Euler-Maclaurin formula on lattice cones, establishing another relation between exponential sums and integrals.

Contents

1. Introduction 2
2. Germs of meromorphic functions 4
3. The geometric structure of polar germs 6
3.1. Cones and fractions 6
3.2. Non-holomorphicity of polar germs 9
3.3. Reduction to polar germs 13
4. A decomposition of the space of meromorphic germs at zero with linear poles 14
4.1. Reduction to simplicial supporting cones 14
4.2. Decomposition of germs 16
4.3. Compatibility of the decomposition with subdivisions 19
5. The p-orders and p-residues for germs of meromorphic functions at zero with linear poles 20
5.1. p-orders of germs 20
5.2. The residue on $\mathcal{M}(V^\circledast \otimes \mathbb{C})$ 21
6. The p-residues of exponential sum on lattice cone 24
References 25

Date: January 5, 2015.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 32A20, 32A27, 52A20, 52C07, 65B15.

Key words and phrases. meromorphic functions, lattice cones, Birkhoff decomposition, residues, Euler-Maclaurin formula.

1. Introduction

Meromorphic functions play a fundamental role in complex geometry, where they relate to divisors, bundles and sheaves. A special class of meromorphic functions, namely meromorphic functions with affine poles or as in this paper with linear poles, arise naturally in various contexts, in perturbative quantum field theory when computing Feynman integrals by means of dimensional regularization (see e.g. [3]) or by means of analytic regularization à la Speer [14], in number theory with multiple zeta functions [10, 15] (see also [9, 12, 13, 16], in the combinatorics on cones when evaluating exponential integrals or sums on cones following Berline and Vergne [1] (see also [5]).

We study these meromorphic functions locally, that is, we study germs of meromorphic functions (or meromorphic germs in short) with linear poles, with the aim in mind to extend to this context results which are known for meromorphic germs in one variable. Let us recall some basic results in one variable of direct interest to us for future generalizations to meromorphic germs in several variables. The space of germs of meromorphic functions at a point–say 0– which we denote by $\mathcal{M}_0(\mathbb{C})$, coincides with the space of convergent Laurent series at 0 denoted by $\mathbb{C}\{\varepsilon^{-1},\varepsilon\}\}$. A meromorphic germ in one variable has a unique Laurent expansion, so our first task is to establish a generalized Laurent expansion for meromorphic germs in several variables with linear poles.

The space $\mathbb{C}\{\varepsilon^{-1},\varepsilon\}\}$ or its formal version $\mathbb{C}[\varepsilon^{-1},\varepsilon]]$, or its twisted version $\mathfrak{g}[\varepsilon^{-1},\varepsilon]]$ for a Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$, play important roles in various mathematical fields, such as representation theory, algebraic geometry, mathematical physics. Here are some of its key features:

- It is filtered by the order, say r, of the pole at zero;
- It has a projection: $\pi_+ : \mathbb{C}\{\varepsilon^{-1},\varepsilon\}\} \to \mathbb{C}\{\{\varepsilon\}\}$ giving a decomposition

$$\mathbb{C}\{\varepsilon^{-1},\varepsilon\}\} = \varepsilon^{-1}\mathbb{C}[\varepsilon^{-1}] \oplus \mathbb{C}\{\{\varepsilon\}\}; \tag{1}$$

- The corresponding filtered algebra

$$\mathcal{F} := \bigcup_{r=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{F}_r, \quad \mathcal{F}_r := \varepsilon^{-r}\mathbb{C}\{\{\varepsilon\}\}$$

has a residue

$$\mathrm{Res}_0^r(f) = \lim_{z\to 0}(z^r f(z)) \quad \text{for all } f \in \varepsilon^{-r}\mathbb{C}\{\{\varepsilon\}\}$$

which is a graded residue in the sense that it induces a map on the corresponding graded algebra $\mathrm{Gr}\,\mathcal{F} = \oplus_{r=0}^{\infty}\mathcal{F}_r/\mathcal{F}_{r-1}$, which is compatible with the graded product.

The decomposition (1) commonly used in physics is called the minimal subtraction scheme and follows from the fact that π_+ is a Rota-Baxter operator [4, 6], one of the fundamental algebraic concepts used for the algebraic Birkhoff factorization in the Connes-Kreimer approach to renormalization [2]. Given the importance of the decomposition and residue of meromorphic germs in one variable, it is interesting to find their generalizations in the case of several variables, which is our goal in this paper. We provide a decomposition (Theorem 4.4) of the algebra of germs of meromorphic functions in several variables with linear poles at zero into the holomorphic part and its linear complement by means of an inner product using previous results on cones and associated fractions [7] in in an essential way[1]. This decomposition leads to interesting applications. One of them is an algebraic Birkhoff factorization for characters with range in meromorphic functions in several variables [8]. The second is a generalization of the residue.

---

[1] The statement of Theorem 4.4 can be found in some unpublished notes that Michèle Vergne kindly shared with us. We could nevertheless not find a proof of this decomposition in the literature.

In order to generalize the fraction $\frac{\mathrm{Res}_0^r(f)}{z^r}$ containing the highest order residue $\mathrm{Res}_0^r(f)$ to meromorphic germs with linear poles, we need a filtration. Using the decomposition of the algebra of meromorphic germs at zero with linear poles of Theorem 4.4, we first filter the algebra of meromorphic germs with linear poles by what we call the p-order (p for polar), which would be r in the above one variable example. Using again the decomposition of the algebra of meromorphic germs, we then pick (see Definition 5.10) the highest polar order fractions in the decomposition, to build the highest polar order residue, or the **p-residue** in short, which boils down to $\frac{\mathrm{Res}_0^r(f)}{z^r}$ in the above example. The p-residue is uniquely defined and we show (Proposition 5.12) that it is independent of the decomposition of f induced by the chosen splitting, and hence is an intrinsic invariant of f. Had we instead picked out for the residue the homogeneous part of p-order 1, it would have depended on the choice of splitting for germs with p-order larger than 1. The p-residue, which is actually a graded residue, is compatible with the product on perpendicular fractions (Proposition 5.14). Had we picked instead of the highest p-order term, the term of p-order 1 as a residue, this compatibility would not have held true.

We then apply this p-residue to the exponential sums on lattice cones, which actually was our original motivation to study the p-residue. Let us recall the one-dimensional Euler-Maclaurin formula for exponential sums and the generalization to higher dimensional cones by Berline and Vergne. On the (closed) cone $[0, +\infty)$, the exponential sum on the lattice points of the cone (the lattice given by the natural integer points), which is

$$S(\varepsilon) := \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} e^{\varepsilon k} = \frac{1}{1-e^{\varepsilon}}$$

for negative ε, relates to the integral

$$I(\varepsilon) := \int_0^{\infty} e^{\varepsilon x}\, dx = -\frac{1}{\varepsilon}$$

by means of the Euler-Maclaurin formula,

$$S(\varepsilon) = I(\varepsilon) + \mu(\varepsilon).$$

Here

$$\mu(\varepsilon) = -\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{B_{n+1}}{(n+1)!}\,\varepsilon^n,$$

is holomorphic at $\varepsilon = 0$, so the integral $I(\varepsilon)$ corresponds to the pole part of the Laurent expansion of the sum $S(\varepsilon)$ at zero.

Similarly, to a lattice cone in a linear space, namely a convex cone equipped with a lattice, one can assign two meromorphic functions, the exponential integral I on the cone and the exponential sum S on the lattice points of the cone, which Berline and Vergne could relate by a generalized Euler-Maclaurin formula ([1, Theorem 19]). One of the motivations for the present paper is to generalize to higher dimensions, the fact that in the one-dimensional case, I arises as the pole part of S; we indeed show that the p-residue of the exponential sum S on a lattice cone is the exponential integral I. The integrals being p-residues of the discrete sums, our result, like the Euler-Maclaurin formula, relates discrete sums and the corresponding integrals.

Layout of the paper. In Section 2 we first provide some background on cones and germs of meromorphic functions with linear poles, and specifically polar ones. In Section 3 we give a geometric approach to the latter. For this purpose we introduce supporting cones for polar germs and use the geometry of supporting cones to study relations among polar germs to prove the main result of this section, namely the non-holomorphicity of linear combinations of polar germs with properly positioned supporting cones. In Section 4, we prove the desired decomposition theorem for the space of meromorphic germs with linear poles with the help of an inner product, and show that the decomposition of a germ into the sum of polar germs and a holomorphic function is uniquely determined by the supporting cones if they are properly positioned. Based on the results in Section 4, we introduce a filtration on the algebra of meromorphic germs with linear poles and the associated p-orders. We then proceed to define p-residues for germs of meromorphic functions at zero with linear poles in Section 5, and prove that they do not depend on any choice we have made. Finally, in Section 6, we apply our construction to the exponential sums of lattice cones and prove that the p-residue is indeed the exponential integral, thus generalizing the one-dimensional situation explicated above.

2. GERMS OF MEROMORPHIC FUNCTIONS

In this section, we provide some terminology needed for subsequent sections. In this part F denotes a subfield of $\mathbb{R}$.

Definition 2.1.
- (a) A **rational (vector) space** is a pair (V, Λ_V) where V is a finite dimensional real vector space and Λ_V is a lattice in V, that is, a finitely generated abelian subgroup of V whose $\mathbb{R}$-linear span is V;
- (b) A **filtered space** is a real vector space V with a filtration $V_1 \subset V_2 \subset \cdots$ of real vector subspaces such that $V = \cup_{k\geq 1} V_k$. Let $j_k : V_k \to V_{k+1}$ denote the inclusion;
- (c) A **filtered rational space** is a filtered space $V = \cup_k V_k$ with lattices $\Lambda_k := \Lambda_{V_k}$ of V_k such that $\Lambda_{k+1}|_{V_k} = \Lambda_k, k \geq 1$. Then we denote the filtered rational space by $(V, \Lambda_V) = \cup_k (V_k, \Lambda_{V_k})$ where $\Lambda_V = \cup_k \Lambda_{V_k}$;
- (d) An **inner product** Q on a filtered space $V = \cup_{k\geq 1} V_k$ is a sequence of inner products
$$Q_k(\cdot,\cdot) = (\cdot,\cdot)_k : V_k \otimes V_k \to \mathbb{R}, \quad k \geq 1,$$
that is compatible with the inclusions $j_k, k \geq 1$;
- (e) An F**-inner product** on a filtered rational space (V, Λ_V) is an inner product $\{Q_k\}_{k\geq 1}$ on the filtered space $V = \cup_{k\geq 1} V_k$ such that the restriction of Q_k to $\Lambda_{V_k} \otimes F$ and hence Λ_{V_k} takes values in F. A filtered rational space together with an F-inner product is called a **filtered rational** F**-Euclidean space.**

We now assume that $V = \cup V_k$ is a filtered rational F-Euclidean space. Let V_k^* be the dual space of V_k, then a vector v in V_k can be viewed as a linear functional on V_k^*
$$v : V_k^* \to \mathbb{R}, \quad f \mapsto f(v).$$
In particular, for a basis $\{e_i\}$ of V_k with dual basis $\{e_i^*\}$ of V_k^*, we have the linear functionals
$$e_i : V_k^* \to \mathbb{R}, \quad u = \sum_i \varepsilon_i e_i^* \mapsto \varepsilon_i.$$
Thus we also denote e_i by ε_i as a function on V_k^*.

Definition 2.2. Let $\cup_k (V_k, \Lambda_k)$ be a filtered rational space.

(a) A **germ of meromorphic functions at** 0 or **meromorphic germ** in short on $V_k^*\otimes\mathbb{C}$ is the quotient of two holomorphic functions in a neighborhood of 0 inside $V_k^*\otimes\mathbb{C}$ with respect to the canonical complex structure on $V_k^*\otimes\mathbb{C}$. So a function on $V_k^*\otimes\mathbb{C}$ is holomorphic if it is holomorphic in the complex coordinates in any dual basis of V_k^*.

(b) A germ of meromorphic functions $f(\vec{\varepsilon})$ on $V_k^*\otimes\mathbb{C}$ is said to have **linear poles at zero with coefficients in** F if there exist vectors $L_1,\cdots,L_n\in\Lambda_{V_k}\otimes F$ (possibly with repetitions) such that $f\Pi_{i=1}^n L_i$ is a holomorphic germ at zero whose Taylor expansion for coordinates in the dual basis $\{e_1^*,\cdots,e_k^*\}$ of a given (and hence every) basis $\{e_1,\cdots,e_k\}$ of Λ_k has coefficients in F.

(c) A germ of meromorphic functions of the form $\frac{1}{L_1^{s_1}\cdots L_n^{s_n}}$ with linearly independent vectors $L_1,\cdots,L_n$ in $\Lambda_k\otimes F$ and $s_1,\cdots,s_n\geq 1$ is called a **simplicial fraction**. Such a fraction is called **simple** if all $s_1=\cdots=s_n=1$ and **multiple** otherwise.

Remark 2.3. These definitions are independent of the choice of a basis of Λ_k. Since a set of vectors in $\Lambda_k\otimes F$ is F-linearly independent if and only if it is $\mathbb{R}$-linearly independent, from now on we just call it linearly independence without specifying the coefficients.

Let $\mathcal{M}_F(V_k^*\otimes\mathbb{C})$ be the set of germs of meromorphic functions on $V_k^*\otimes\mathbb{C}$ with linear poles at zero and with coefficients in F, which defines a linear space over F.

The F-inner product $Q_k: V_k\otimes V_k\to\mathbb{R}$ induces an isomorphism $Q_k^*: V_k\to V_k^*$. This yields an embedding $V_k^*\hookrightarrow V_{k+1}^*$ induced from $j_k: V_k\to V_{k+1}$. We refer to the direct limit $V^{\circledast}:=\bigcup_{k=0}^\infty V_k^*=\varinjlim V_k^*$ as the **filtered dual space** of V.[2]

Composing with the map $j_k^*: V_{k+1}^*\to V_k^*$ dual to $j_k: V_k\to V_{k+1}$, yields the embedding

$$\mathcal{M}_F(V_k^*\otimes\mathbb{C})\hookrightarrow\mathcal{M}_F(V_{k+1}^*\otimes\mathbb{C}),$$

giving rise to the direct limit

$$\mathcal{M}_F(V^{\circledast}\otimes\mathbb{C}):=\varinjlim\mathcal{M}_F(V_k^*\otimes\mathbb{C})=\bigcup_{k=1}^\infty\mathcal{M}_F(V_k^*\otimes\mathbb{C}).$$

Let $\mathcal{M}_{F,+}(V_k^*\otimes\mathbb{C})$ denote the space of germs of holomorphic functions at zero in $V_k^*\otimes\mathbb{C}$ whose Taylor expansions at zero have coefficients in F under the dual basis of a basis of Λ_k. We set

$$\mathcal{M}_{F,+}(V^{\circledast}\otimes\mathbb{C}):=\bigcup_{k=1}^\infty\mathcal{M}_{F,+}(V_k^*\otimes\mathbb{C}).$$

When $F=\mathbb{R}$, we usually drop the subscript F from the notation.

For $V=\mathbb{R}^\infty$ equipped with the filtration $V_k:=\mathbb{R}^k$ with its standard lattice $\mathbb{Z}^k$ and standard inner product, the dual rational space V_k^* is identified with $\mathbb{R}^k$ equipped with the standard lattice. Thus the space $\mathcal{M}_{F,+}(\mathbb{C}^k):=\mathcal{M}_{F,+}(V_k^*\otimes\mathbb{C})$ corresponds to the space of germs of holomorphic functions at zero in $\mathbb{C}^k$ whose Taylor expansions at zero have coefficients in F with respect to the canonical basis of $\mathbb{R}^k$.

We next identify a class of polar germs (that is, non-holomorphic meromorphic germs) that will be shown to give a linear complement of the subspace $\mathcal{M}_{F,+}(V_k^*\otimes\mathbb{C})$ (Theorem 4.4). Thus they can be regarded as purely polar germs. For notational simplicity, we will call them polar germs.

---

[2] $V^{\circledast}$ is a proper subspace of the usual dual space V^* unless V is finite dimensional.

Definition 2.4. A **polar germ with F-coefficients** in $V_k^* \otimes \mathbb{C}$ is a germ of meromorphic functions at zero of the form

$$\frac{h(\ell_1, \cdots, \ell_m)}{L_1^{s_1} \cdots L_n^{s_n}},$$

where

(a) $\ell_1, \cdots, \ell_m, L_1, \cdots, L_n$ lie in $\Lambda_k \otimes F$, with $L_1, \cdots, L_n$ linearly independent, such that

$$Q(\ell_i, L_j) = 0 \quad \text{for all } (i, j) \in [m] \times [n],$$

where for a positive integer p, we have set $[p] = \{1, \cdots, p\}$,

(b) h lies in $\mathcal{M}_{F,+}(\mathbb{C}^m)$,

(c) $s_1, \cdots, s_n$ are positive integers.

Remark 2.5. Let $\{\ell_{i_1}, \cdots, \ell_{i_r}\}$ be a maximal linearly independent subset of $\{\ell_1, \cdots, \ell_m\}$. Then $h(\ell_1, \cdots, \ell_m) = h(T(\ell_{i_1}, \cdots, \ell_{i_r}))$ for a multilinear map T. Thus $h \circ T$ is still holomorphic. Therefore, without loss of generality we can assume that $\ell_1, \cdots, \ell_m$ are linearly independent.

Definition 2.6. We let $\mathcal{M}^Q_{F,-}(V_k^* \otimes \mathbb{C})$ denote the F-span of polar germs in $\mathcal{M}_F(V_k^* \otimes \mathbb{C})$ and set

$$\mathcal{M}^Q_{F,-}(V^{\circledast} \otimes \mathbb{C}) := \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{M}^Q_{F,-}(V_k^* \otimes \mathbb{C}).$$

Remark 2.7. Whereas the space $\mathcal{M}^Q_{F,-}(V_k^* \otimes \mathbb{C})$ depends on the choice of the inner product Q, the space $\mathcal{M}_{F,+}(V_k^* \otimes \mathbb{C})$ does not.

Example 2.8. (a) For linearly independent vectors $L_1, \cdots, L_k \in \Lambda_k \otimes F$ and $s_1, \cdots, s_k > 0$, $\dfrac{1}{L_1^{s_1} \cdots L_k^{s_k}}$ lies in $\mathcal{M}^Q_{F,-}(V_k^* \otimes \mathbb{C})$ for any inner product Q.

(b) Let $Q := (\cdot, \cdot)$ be the canonical Euclidean inner product on $\mathbb{R}^\infty$. Then the functions $f(\varepsilon_1 e_1^* + \varepsilon_2 e_2^*) = \dfrac{(\varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_2)^t}{(\varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2)^s}, s > 0, t \geq 0$, lie in $\mathcal{M}^Q_{\mathbb{Q},-}((\mathbb{R}^2)^* \otimes \mathbb{C})$.

Remark 2.9. We will mostly be working with a fixed filtered rational F-Euclidean space. Thus we will drop the superscript Q to simplify notations.

3. THE GEOMETRIC STRUCTURE OF POLAR GERMS

In this section, we apply our results in [7] to study meromorphic germs geometrically through the cones associated to the germs.

3.1. **Cones and fractions.**

We first recall our results on the geometry of cones underlying decomposition of fractions in [7]. Consider a filtered rational space $V = \cup_{k \geq 1} V_k$, with a fixed ordered basis $(e_1, e_2, \cdots)$ such that $(e_1, e_2, \cdots) \cap V_k = (e_1, \cdots, e_k)$ is a basis of Λ_k. A **(closed convex polyhedral) cone** in V_k is the set

$$\langle v_1, \cdots, v_n \rangle := \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} v_1 + \cdots + \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} v_n, \tag{2}$$

where $v_i \in V_k$, $i = 1, \cdots, n$. It is called an F-cone if the v_i's in Eq. (2) are in $\Lambda_k \otimes F$. If $F = \mathbb{Q}$, then it is called rational. The dimension is defined as the dimension of the linear subspace spanned by the v_i's. A cone is called **strongly convex** if it does not contain any nonzero linear subspace. A cone is **simplicial** if it is generated by $\mathbb{R}$-linearly independent vectors, so a simplicial cone is strongly convex. A rational cone is **smooth** if it is generated by part of a basis of Λ_k.

A **subdivision of a cone** C is a set $\{C_1, \cdots, C_r\}$ of cones such that

(i) $C = \cup_{i=1}^r C_i$,
(ii) $C_1, \cdots, C_r$ have the same dimension as C, and
(iii) $C_1, \cdots, C_r$ intersect along their faces, i.e., $C_i \cap C_j$ is a face of both C_i and C_j for $1 \leq i, j \leq r$.

A subdivision is called simplicial (resp. smooth, in the case when C is rational) if all C_i's are simplicial (resp. smooth). An F-subdivision of an F-cone is a subdivision such that every C_i is an F-cone.

A **proper subdivision of a family of cones** $\{C_i\}$ is a set $\{D_1, \cdots, D_r\}$ of cones such that

(a) $D_1, \cdots, D_r$ intersect along their faces,
(b) for any i, there is $I_i \subset [r]$ such that $\{D_l\}_{l \in I_i}$ is a subdivision of C_i, and
(c) $\cup_i I_i = [r]$.

A proper F-subdivision of a family of F-cones is a proper subdivision such that every D_i is an F-cone.

With this notion, results in [7] can be rephrased as follows.

Lemma 3.1. [7, Lemma 2.3]

(a) *Any finite family* $\{C_i\}$ *of cones in* $\mathbb{R}^k$ *has a simplicial proper subdivision.*
(b) *Any finite family of rational cones* $\{C_i\}$ *in* $\mathbb{R}^k$ *has a smooth proper subdivision.*

As a subfield of $\mathbb{R}$, F contains $\mathbb{Q}$, so $\Lambda_k \otimes F$ is dense in V_k. Applying this in the proof of [7, Lemma 2.3(a)], we obtain

Lemma 3.2. *Any finite family* $\{C_i\}_1^m$ *of F-cones has a simplicial proper F-subdivision.*

We now assign to each cone a fraction. Let C be a simplicial cone in V_k with $\mathbb{R}$-linearly independent generators $v_1, \cdots v_n$ expressed in the fixed basis $\{e_1, \cdots, e_k\}$ as $v_i = \sum_{j=1}^{k} a_{ji} e_j, a_{ji}$, for $1 \leq i \leq n$. Define linear functions $L_i(\vec{\varepsilon}) := L_{v_i}(\vec{\varepsilon}) := \sum_{j=1}^{k} a_{ji}\varepsilon_j$, where $\vec{\varepsilon} := \sum_{i=1}^k \varepsilon_j e_j^* \in V_k^* \otimes \mathbb{C}$ and $\{e_1^*, \cdots, e_k^*\}$ is the dual basis in V_k^*. Let $A_C = [a_{ij}]$ denote the associated matrix in $M_{k\times n}(\mathbb{R})$ with v_i as column vectors. Let $w(v_1, \cdots, v_n)$ or $w(C)$ denote the sum of absolute values of the determinants of all minors of A_C of rank n. As in [7], define

$$\Phi(C) := \frac{w(v_1, \cdots, v_n)}{L_1 \cdots L_n}, \tag{3}$$

which is in $S(\mathbb{R})$ introduced in Definition 2.2 (c). For an simplicial F-cone C, $\Phi(C)$ is in $S(F)$.

Further for any cone C, define $\Phi(C) := \sum_i \Phi(C_i)$ where $\{C_i\}$ is a simplicial subdivision of C. As shown in [7], thanks to the following lemma, Φ is well-defined, independent of the choice of its simplicial subdivision.

Lemma 3.3. [7, Lemma 3.2] *Let C be a simplicial cone and $\{C_1, \cdots, C_r\}$ be a simplicial subdivision of C, then* $\Phi(C) = \sum_{i=1}^{r} \Phi(C_i)$.

Let $\mathfrak{C}$ be the set of cones in V, and $\mathbb{R}\mathfrak{C}$ be the vector space with basis $\mathfrak{C}$. We then obtain a linear map

$$\Phi : \mathbb{R}\mathfrak{C} \to S(\mathbb{R}).$$

Remark 3.4. Whereas for a simplicial cone C, $\Phi(C)$ is a simple simplicial fraction, for a general cone C, $\Phi(C)$ is a linear combination of simple simplicial fractions, since it is defined as the sum of $\Phi(C_i)$ for any subdivision of C into simplicial cones $\{C_i\}$ (see Formula (15) in [8]).

Definition 3.5. A family of cones is said to be **properly positioned** if the cones meet along faces and the union does not contain any nonzero linear subspace.

Example 3.6. Any subdivision of a strongly convex cones yields a properly positioned family of cones.

More generally we have the following result.

Proposition 3.7. *A family of F-cones whose union does not contain any nonzero linear subspace, can be subdivided into a properly positioned set of simplicial F-cones.*

Proof. Adapting the proof of [7, Lemma 2.3 (a)] and using the density of $\Lambda_k \otimes F$ in V_k, one can build a common F-subdivision of the family of cones that intersect along faces. The union of the cones in the subdivision is the same as the union of the family of cones and hence does not contain a nonzero linear subspace. Therefore the subdivision is properly positioned. ◻

We have the following geometric criterion for the linear independence of fractions.

Lemma 3.8. *Let $\{C_i\}$ be a set of properly positioned simplicial cones each of whose elements C_i spans the same linear subspace. Then the set $\{\Phi(C_i)\}$ of fractions is linearly independent.*

Proof. We note that the proof of Lemma 3.5 in [7] still works if its assumption that the cones is in the first orthant is replaced by the assumption that the union of the cones has a topological boundary. Then Lemma 3.8 follows since the latter assumption is met when the cones are properly positioned and hence their union does not contain any nonzero linear subspace. ◻

Remark 3.9. As a special case of this lemma, for a set of simplicial properly positioned F-cones $\{C_i\}$, if each of whose elements C_i spans the same linear subspace, then the set $\{\Phi(C_i)\}$ of fractions is F-linearly independent.

On the other hand, partial differentiation on the space of fractions carries over to cones. For the fixed basis $\{e_1, e_2, \cdots\}$, let $\{e_1^*, e_2^*, \cdots\}$ be the dual basis, and $\vec{\varepsilon} = \sum \varepsilon_i e_i^*$ be an element in $V^{\circledast} \otimes \mathbb{C}$. We define the differential operators

$$\partial_i = -\frac{\partial}{\partial \varepsilon_i} : \mathcal{M}_F(V^{\circledast} \otimes \mathbb{C}) \to \mathcal{M}_F(V^{\circledast} \otimes \mathbb{C}).$$

The following proposition follows from straightforward computations.

Proposition 3.10. ([7, Proposition 4.8]) *For a fraction $\frac{1}{L_1^{s_1}\cdots L_k^{s_k}}$, let $\{L_i^* = \sum_j c_{ij} e_j^*\}_i$ be dual to $\{L_i\}_i$ in the sense that $(L_i, L_j^*) = \delta_{ij}, 1 \leq i, j \leq k$. Define $\partial_{L_i^*} = \sum_j c_{ij}\partial_j$. Then we have*

$$\frac{1}{L_1^{s_1}\cdots L_k^{s_k}} = \frac{1}{(s_1-1)!\cdots(s_k-1)!}\partial_{L_1^*}^{s_1-1}\cdots\partial_{L_k^*}^{s_k-1}\frac{1}{L_1\cdots L_k}. \tag{4}$$

3.2. **Non-holomorphicity of polar germs.** In this subsection, we use our results on cones to study the non-holomorphicity of polar germs.

Lemma 3.11. *If a polar germ can be written as* $\frac{h(\ell_1,\cdots,\ell_m)}{L_1^{s_1}\cdots L_n^{s_n}}$ *and* $\frac{g(\ell'_1,\cdots,\ell'_k)}{(L'_1)^{t_1}\cdots(L'_\ell)^{t_\ell}}$, *both in form satisfying the conditions in Definition 2.4. Then* $k=\ell$, *and* $L'_1,\cdots,L'_\ell$ *can be rearranged so that* L_i *is a multiple of* L'_i *and* $s_i=t_i$ *for* $1\le i\le k$.

Proof. We apply the induction on $s:=s_1+\cdots+s_n$ with the case when $s=1$ being clear. For the inductive step, suppose that none of the linear forms $L'_1,\cdots,L'_l$ is a multiple of L_1. Then the left hand side of the equality

$$\frac{h(\ell_1,\cdots,\ell_m)}{L_1^{s_1}}=\frac{g(\ell'_1,\cdots,\ell'_k)L_2^{s_2}\cdots L_n^{s_n}}{(L'_1)^{t_1}\cdots(L'_\ell)^{t_\ell}}$$

is a non-holomorphic Laurent series in L_1, while the right hand side is holomorphic in L_1, which leads to a contradiction. Therefore we can rearrange $L'_1,\cdots,L'_\ell$ so that

$$L_1=cL'_1$$

for some constant $c\neq 0$. Thus from

$$\frac{h(\ell_1,\cdots,\ell_m)}{L_1^{s_1}\cdots L_n^{s_n}}=\frac{g(\ell'_1,\cdots,\ell'_k)}{(L'_1)^{t_1}\cdots(L'_\ell)^{t_\ell}}$$

we obtain

$$\frac{h(\ell_1,\cdots,\ell_m)}{L_1^{s_1-1}\cdots L_n^{s_n}}=\frac{cg(\ell'_1,\cdots,\ell'_k)}{(L'_1)^{t_1-1}\cdots(L'_\ell)^{t_\ell}}.$$

By the inductive hypothesis, the conclusion of the lemma holds for the two sides of the equation. Then the lemma follows. □

This lemma justifies the following definition, which to a polar germ assigns a simplicial cone.

Definition 3.12. (a) A vector v of V is called **pseudo-positive** (with respect to the chosen basis) if the first nonzero coefficient of v under the ordered basis $(e_1,e_2,\cdots)$ is 1.

(b) Let

$$\frac{h(\ell_1,\cdots,\ell_m)}{L_1^{s_1}\cdots L_n^{s_n}},$$

be a polar germ such that the vectors $L_1,\cdots,L_n$ are pseudo-positive. Then the cone $\langle L_1,\cdots,L_n\rangle$ is called the **supporting cone** of the germ.

Remark 3.13. (a) Rescaling the vectors L_i if necessary, we may assume that any polar germ satisfies the above pseudo-positive condition. Thus the supporting cone of a polar germ exists. By Lemma 3.11, the supporting cone is also unique.

(b) The lemma also shows that a polar germ can be uniquely written as $\frac{h(\ell_1,\cdots,\ell_m)}{L_1^{s_1}\cdots L_n^{s_n}}$ in a form satisfying the conditions in Definition 2.4 and with $L_1,\cdots,L_n$ pseudo-positive. We refer to a fraction in this form as the **standard form** of the corresponding polar germ.

Example 3.14. The supporting cone of the germ $\dfrac{1}{(\varepsilon_1+\varepsilon_2)^2(\varepsilon_2-\varepsilon_1)}$ is the cone $\langle e_1+e_2,e_1-e_2\rangle$.

Example 3.15. Let C be a simplicial cone. The supporting cone of the germ $\Phi(C)$ clearly is the cone C.

Remark 3.16. For a general convex cone C the germ $\Phi(C)$ is not necessarily a polar germ, so the notion of supporting cone does not apply in that case.

Lemma 3.17. *If $\{L_1, \cdots, L_n\}$ is a set of pseudo-positive vectors, then the cone $\langle L_1, \cdots, L_n\rangle$ is strongly convex.*

Proof. Let $L_i = \sum_{j=1}^k a_{ji} e_j, a_{ji} \in \mathbb{R}, 1 \leq i \leq n$. Let $a_{j_i,i}, 1 \leq i \leq n$, be the first nonzero (so positive) coefficient of L_i. Reorder the L_i's so that $j_1 \leq j_2 \leq \cdots \leq j_n$. Then $a_{j_i\ell} \geq 0$ for $i \leq \ell$ since $a_{j_\ell\ell}$ is the first nonzero coefficient of L_ℓ.

Suppose that the cone $\langle L_1, \cdots, L_n\rangle$ is not strongly convex. Then there is $v \neq 0$ with $v, -v \in \langle L_1, \cdots, L_n\rangle$. Then we have

$$v = \sum_{i=1}^n c_i L_i = \sum_{j=1}^k \left(\sum_{i=1}^n c_i a_{ji} \right) e_j, \quad -v = \sum_{i=1}^n d_i L_i = \sum_{j=1}^k \left(\sum_{i=1}^n d_i a_{ji} \right) e_j,$$

where $c_i, d_i \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}, 1 \leq i \leq n$. Let c_{i_0} be the first nonzero coefficient of v. By switching v and $-v$ if necessary, we can assume that the first nonzero coefficient c_{i_0} of v appears no latter than the first nonzero coefficient of $-v$. In other words, $d_i = 0$ for $1 \leq i_0 - 1$. Then

$$v = \sum_{i=i_0}^n c_i L_i = \sum_{j=1}^k \left(\sum_{i=i_0}^n c_i a_{ji} \right) e_j, \quad -v = \sum_{i=i_0}^n d_i L_i = \sum_{j=1}^k \left(\sum_{i=i_0}^n d_i a_{ji} \right) e_j.$$

Comparing these two equations, we have

$$\sum_{i=i_0}^n c_i a_{j_{i_0} i} = - \sum_{i=i_0}^n d_i a_{j_{i_0} i}.$$

Since all the coefficients in the sums are nonnegative, we must have $\sum\limits_{i=i_0}^n c_i a_{j_{i_0} i} = 0$. This is a contradiction since $c_{i_0} a_{j_{i_0}, i_0} > 0$. $\square$

With the concept of supporting cone at hand, we can restate Lemma 3.8 in terms of a geometric criterion for the linear independence of fractions.

Lemma 3.18. *Simple simplicial fractions whose supporting cones are properly positioned and span the same linear subspace are linearly independent.*

More generally we have

Lemma 3.19. *Any set of simplicial fractions whose supporting cones are properly positioned and spanned the same linear subspace are linearly independent.*

Proof. The proof is similar to that of Lemma 4.9 in [7]. We include a proof for completeness. We just need to prove that a contradiction follows from any relation

$$\sum_{i=1}^r a_i \frac{1}{L_{i1}^{s_{i1}} \cdots L_{in}^{s_{in}}} = 0 \tag{5}$$

with $0 \neq a_i \in F$ and with supporting cones of $G_i := \frac{1}{L_{i1}^{s_{i1}} \cdots L_{in}^{s_{in}}}$ satisfying the conditions in the Lemma. By Lemma 3.6 in [7], we can assume that for each $1 \leq i \leq r$, the weight $|s_i| = s_{i1} + \cdots + s_{ik}$ is the same. We next proceed by induction on $s := |s_i|$. So $s \geq k$.

If $s = k$, then the powers of all the linear forms are 1. It then follows from Lemma 3.18 that $a_i = 0$ for all indices i, leading to the expected contradiction. Assume that a contradiction arises for any relation in Eq. (5) with $s = n \geq k$ and consider such a relation with $s = n + 1$. In this case, at least one linear form, say L_1, has exponent greater than one.

Let r_1 be the maximal power of L_1 in all the simplicial fractions $G_i, 1 \leq i \leq r$. We split these fractions into three disjoint sets. Let $G_1, \cdots, G_m$ be all the simplicial fractions with L_1 raised to the power of r_1. Let $G_{m+1}, \cdots, G_{m+\ell}$ be all the simplicial fractions, if any, with L_1 raised a positive power less than r_1. Let $G_{m+\ell+1}, \cdots, G_r$ be all the simplicial fractions, if any, that do not contain L_1 in their denominator. Then

$$L_{v_1} \sum_{i=1}^{r} a_i G_i = L_{v_1} \sum_{i=1}^{m} a_i G_i + L_{v_1} \sum_{i=m+1}^{m+\ell} a_i G_i + L_{v_1} \sum_{i=m+\ell+1}^{r} a_i G_i.$$

For any $m + 1 \leq i \leq m + \ell$, the power of $1/L_{v_1}$ in $L_{v_1} G_i$ is less than $r_1 - 1$. For $m + \ell + 1 \leq i \leq r$, $1/L_{v_1}$ does not appear as a linear form of G_i. Using the assumption of the proposition, we write L_{v_1} as a linear combination of the linear forms $L_{v_{i1}}, \cdots, L_{v_{ik}}$ of G_i:

$$L_{v_1} = a_{i1} L_{v_{i1}} + \cdots + a_{ik} L_{v_{ik}}.$$

Thus $L_{v_1} G_i = \sum\limits_{j=1}^{k} \frac{a_{i1}}{L_{v_{i1}}^{s_{i1}} \cdots L_{v_{ij}}^{s_{ij}-1} \cdots L_{v_{ik}}^{s_{ik}}}$ is a linear combination of fractions that do not contain L_{v_1} as a linear form. In summary, each monomial in $\sum\limits_{i=m+1}^{r} a_i G_i L_{v_1}$ has its power of $1/L_{v_1}$ less than $r_1 - 1$ so that no such monomial can cancel with any monomial in $L_{v_1} \sum\limits_{i=1}^{m} a_i G_i$. Then from $\sum\limits_{i=1}^{r} a_i G_i = 0$ and thus $L_{v_1} \sum\limits_{i=1}^{r} a_i G_i = 0$ we know that

$$L_{v_1} \sum_{i=1}^{m} a_i G_i = 0.$$

In this equality, simplicial fractions $L_{v_1} G_1, \cdots, L_{v_1} G_m$ have non-zero coefficients $a_1, \cdots, a_m$, and the weight of each terms in the sum is n. Thus by the induction hypothesis we must have $a_i = 0, i = 1, \cdots m$, which yields the expected contradiction. □

Example 3.20. Given two $\mathbb{R}$-linearly independent linear forms L_1, L_2, then the fractions $\frac{1}{L_1^{s_1}(L_1+L_2)^{s_2}}$ and $\frac{1}{(L_1+L_2)^{r_1} L_2^{r_2}}$ are $\mathbb{R}$-linearly independent for any (r_1, r_2) and (s_1, s_2) in $\mathbb{N}^2$ since their supporting cones $\langle L_1, L_1 + L_2 \rangle$ and $\langle L_2, L_1 + L_2 \rangle$ span the same linear space and are properly positioned.

Based on this, we prove the following non-holomorphicity of polar germs.

Theorem 3.21. *Let* $\left\{ \frac{h_i}{L_{i1}^{s_{i1}} \cdots L_{in_i}^{s_{in_i}}} \right\}_{1 \leq i \leq p}$ *be a set of polar germs with coefficients in* F *such that*

- *for any* $1 \leq i \neq j \leq p$, *the two functions* $L_{i1}^{s_{i1}} \cdots L_{in_i}^{s_{in_i}}$ *and* $L_{j1}^{s_{j1}} \cdots L_{jn_j}^{s_{jn_j}}$ *are not proportional to each other,*
- *the supporting cones for* $\frac{h_i}{L_{i1}^{s_{i1}} \cdots L_{in_i}^{s_{in_i}}}$, $1 \leq i \leq p$, *are properly positioned.*

If a linear combination

$$\sum_{i=1}^{p} a_i \frac{h_i}{L_{i1}^{s_{i1}} \cdots L_{in_i}^{s_{in_i}}}, \quad a_i \in F, 1 \leq i \leq p, \tag{6}$$

is holomorphic, then $a_i = 0$ *for* $1 \leq i \leq p$.

Remark 3.22. Clearly, it suffices to show the theorem for $F = \mathbb{R}$ since the result then follows for any subfield F of $\mathbb{R}$.

Proof. Suppose that the theorem does not hold. Then there is a linear combination of the form described in Eq. (6) with nonzero real coefficients $a_i, 1 \leq i \leq p$, and a germ of holomorphic functions h_0 such that the germ

$$\sum_{i=1}^{p} a_i \frac{h_i}{L_{i1}^{s_{i1}} \cdots L_{in_i}^{s_{in_i}}} - h_0 = 0 \tag{7}$$

vanishes in a neighborhood of zero. Reordering the terms in the sum if necessary, we can assume that the first term in the sum satisfies the conditions

$$N := \sum_{j=1}^{n_1} s_{1j} = \max \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^{n_i} s_{ij} \,\middle|\, i = 1, \cdots, p \right\} \text{ and } n_1 = \min \left\{ n_i \,\middle|\, i = 1, \cdots, p, \sum_{j=1}^{n_i} s_{ij} = N \right\}.$$

We can further assume that there is a $t \geq 1$ such that, precisely for $i = 1, \cdots, t$, the following two conditions are satisfied: i) $\sum\limits_{j=1}^{n_i} s_{ij} = N$ and ii) the set $\{L_{i1}, \cdots, L_{in_i}\}$ spans the same linear subspace as $\{L_{11}, \cdots, L_{1n_1}\}$. Thus $n_i = n_1$ for $i = 1, \cdots, t$.

We consider the first term of the sum in Eq. (7). Let $h_1 = h_1(\ell_{11}, \cdots, \ell_{1m_1})$ with $Q(L_{1n}, \ell_{1m}) = 0, 1 \leq n \leq n_1, 1 \leq m \leq m_1$. As in Remark 2.5, without loss of generality we can assume that $\ell_{11}, \cdots, \ell_{1m_1}$ are linearly independent. Extend $L_{11}, \cdots, L_{1n_1}, \ell_{11}, \cdots, \ell_{1m_1}$ to a basis $\{e_1, \cdots, e_k\}$ of $\Lambda_k \otimes F$, with $e_n = L_{1n}$ for $1 \leq n \leq n_1$ and $e_{n_1+m} = \ell_{1m}$ for $1 \leq m \leq m_1$. Thus

$$Q(e_p, e_q) = 0, \quad 1 \leq p \leq n_1, n_1 + 1 \leq q \leq k.$$

Note that, for $i > 1$, the germ $h_i = h_i(\ell_{i1}, \cdots, \ell_{im_i})$ has the property that

$$Q(L_{in}, \ell_{im}) = 0, 1 \leq n \leq n_i, 1 \leq m \leq m_i$$

hence for $1 \leq i \leq t$, $Q(e_p, \ell_{im}) = 0$ for $1 \leq p \leq n_1, 1 \leq m \leq m_i$, so the linear forms $\ell_{i1}, \cdots, \ell_{im_i}$ lie in the linear span of $e_{n_1+1}, \cdots, e_k$. Thus with respect to the dual basis $\{e_1^*, \cdots, e_k^*\}$ of the basis $\{e_1, \cdots, e_k\}$ of $V_k \otimes \mathbb{C}$, the functions $h_1(\sum \varepsilon_i e_i^*), \cdots, h_t(\sum \varepsilon_i e_i^*)$ in the variables $\varepsilon_1, \cdots, \varepsilon_k$ are in fact germs of holomorphic functions in variables $\varepsilon_{n_1+1}, \cdots \varepsilon_k$ only, which we write them as $h_1(\varepsilon_{n_1+1}, \cdots, \varepsilon_k), \cdots, h_t(\varepsilon_{n_1+1}, \cdots, \varepsilon_k)$.

Let now $i \in \{1, \cdots, p\}$, for any $n \in \{1, \cdots, n_i\}$, we write $L_{in} = L'_{in} + L''_{in}$, where L'_{in} is a linear combination of $e_1, \cdots, e_{n_1}$ and L''_{in} is a linear combinations of $e_{n_1+1}, \cdots e_k$. Thus L''_{in} is a linear function in $\varepsilon_{n_1+1}, \cdots, \varepsilon_k$. We note that $i > t$ if and only if either $\sum_{j=1}^{n_i} s_{ij} < N$, or there is an index n such that $L''_{in} \neq 0$ as a result of the fact that $\{L_{i1}, \cdots, L_{in_i}\}$ and $\{L_{11}, \cdots, L_{1n_1}\}$ do not span the same linear space.

Now fix values $\varepsilon^0_{n_1+1}, \cdots, \varepsilon^0_k$ of $\varepsilon_{n_1+1}, \cdots, \varepsilon_k$ such that $h_i(\varepsilon^0_{n_1+1}, \cdots, \varepsilon^0_k) \neq 0, 1 \leq i \leq t$, and $L''_{in}(\varepsilon^0_{n_1+1}, \cdots \varepsilon^0_k) \neq 0, i > 1$ for those $L''_{in} \neq 0$. This is possible since the union of zero locus of these finitely nonzero functions being proper closed subsets implies that the complement is an open non-empty subset.

We introduce a new set of variables $r_m, 1 \leq m \leq n_1$ and ε and apply the substitution $(\varepsilon_1, \cdots, \varepsilon_k) = (r_1\varepsilon, \cdots, r_{n_1}\varepsilon, \varepsilon^0_{n_1+1}, \cdots, \varepsilon^0_k)$ to the meromorphic germ

$$\sum_{i=1}^{p} a_i \frac{h_i}{L_{i1}^{s_{i1}} \cdots L_{in_i}^{s_{in_i}}} - h_0$$

in Eq. (7). This gives rise to a Laurent series in ε that is identically 0 by the choice of the germ. Thus the coefficient of every given power of ε is 0. In particular the coefficient of the smallest power ε^{-N} is zero. In order for a term $h_i/L_{i1}^{s_{i1}}\cdots L_{in_i}^{s_{in_i}}$ in the sum to contribute to this coefficient, we must have $\sum_j s_{ij} = N$ and $L''_{ij} = 0$, that is, $1 \le i \le t$. On the other hand, for $1 \le i \le t$, $L_{i1}, \cdots, L_{in_i}$ are linear homogeneous in $L_{11} = \varepsilon_1, \cdots, L_{1n_1} = \varepsilon_{n_1}$. Hence under the above substitution, they give $\varepsilon L_{i1}, \cdots, \varepsilon L_{in_i}$ in the variables $r_1, \cdots, r_{n_1}$ and the coefficient of ε^{-N} reads

$$\sum_{i=1}^{t} a_i \frac{h_i(\varepsilon^0_{n_1+1}, \cdots, \varepsilon^0_k)}{L_{i1}^{s_{i1}}\cdots L_{in_i}^{s_{in_i}}}.$$

Hence it is zero as a sum of fractions in $r_1, \cdots, r_{n_1}$. But the set of fractions $\frac{1}{L_{i1}^{s_{i1}}\cdots L_{in_i}^{s_{in_i}}}$, $1 \le i \le t$, satisfies the conditions in Lemma 3.19. Thus the coefficients $a_i h_i(\varepsilon^0_{n_1+1}, \cdots, \varepsilon^0_k)$ and hence the coefficients $a_i, 1 \le i \le t$, are zero which leads to a contradiction. □

A direct consequence of the non-holomorphicity theorem 3.21 is the following uniqueness result.

Corollary 3.23. *Let $\{S_i\}_{1\le i\le \ell}$ and $\{T_j\}_{1\le j\le m}$ be two sets of polar germs with coefficients in F, both satisfying the assumptions in Theorem 3.21. Further suppose that the supporting cones for S_i's coincide with the supporting cones for T_j's. If $\sum_{i=1}^{\ell} S_i = \sum_{j=1}^{m} T_j$, then $\{S_i\}_{1\le i\le \ell} = \{T_j\}_{1\le j\le m}$.*

Proof. Write the polar germs S_i's and T_j's in their standard forms as defined in Remark 3.13. Let $M_k, 1 \le k \le n$, be the distinct (and hence non-colinear) denominators in these standard forms. Then we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\ell} S_i = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{g_k}{M_k} \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{j=1}^{m} T_j = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{h_k}{M_k},$$

where for each $1 \le k \le n$, g_k and h_k are not both zero and are homomorphic in some linear forms perpendicular to the linear forms in M_k. Thus from $\sum_{i=1}^{\ell} S_i = \sum_{j=1}^{m} T_j$ we obtain

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{g_k - h_k}{M_k} = 0.$$

But the terms in the sum satisfy the conditions of Theorem 3.21. Thus we have $g_k = h_k$ for $1 \le k \le n$. Therefore the S_i's must match with the T_j's which gives the identification we want. □

3.3. **Reduction to polar germs.** The following lemma is useful to prove a decomposition of germs of meromorphic functions with linear poles and real or rational coefficients.

Lemma 3.24. *Every element f in $\mathcal{M}_{F,-}(V_k^* \otimes \mathbb{C})$ can be written as a F-linear combination $\sum\limits_i S_i$ of polar germs S_i with coefficients in F whose supporting cones are properly positioned.*

Proof. An element of $\mathcal{M}_{F,-}(V_k^* \otimes \mathbb{C})$ is a sum $\sum\limits_{i=1}^{\ell} \frac{h_i}{L_{i1}^{s_{i1}}\cdots L_{in_i}^{s_{in_i}}}$ of polar germs. Collecting the terms with colinear denominators, we can assume that $L_{i1}^{s_{i1}}\cdots L_{in_i}^{s_{in_i}}$ and $L_{j1}^{s_{j1}}\cdots L_{jn_j}^{s_{jn_j}}$ are not colinear as functions for any $1 \le i \ne j \le \ell$.

Fix a basis $\{e_1, \cdots, e_k\}$ of $\Lambda_k \otimes F$. Then multiplying by -1 if necessary, we can assume that the L_{ij}'s are pseudo-positive. Thus the union of any set of faces of the supporting cones is not a linear subspace.

Let C_i be the supporting cone of $\frac{h_i}{L_{i1}^{s_{i1}}\cdots L_{in_i}^{s_{in_i}}}$, $1\leq i\leq \ell$, which are F-cones. By Lemma 3.2, there are simplicial F-cones $D_\mu, 1\leq \mu\leq m$, that only intersect along their faces such that every C_i has a simplicial subdivision by a subset $\{D_\mu\}_{\mu\in J_i}$ of $\{D_\mu\}_{\mu\in[m]}$, where $J_i\subseteq [m], 1\leq i\leq \ell$. Let $\frac{1}{M_\mu}, 1\leq \mu\leq m$, be the simple simplicial fractions with supporting cone D_μ. Since C_i and D_μ are simplicial, with the notations in Eq. (14) of [7], we have

$$\Phi(C_i)=\frac{a_i}{L_{i1}\cdots L_{in_i}},\quad \Phi(D_\mu)=\frac{b_\mu}{M_\mu},$$

where a_i, b_μ are constants in F. Thus by Lemma 3.3, we have

$$\frac{a_i}{L_{i1}\cdots L_{in_i}}=\Phi(C_i)=\sum_{\mu\in J_i}\Phi(D_\mu)=\sum_{\mu\in J_i}\frac{b_\mu}{M_\mu}.$$

Thus $\frac{1}{L_{i1}\cdots L_{in_i}}$ is a F-linear combination of $\frac{1}{M_\mu}$. By Proposition [7, Proposition 4.8], $\frac{1}{L_{i1}^{s_{i1}}\cdots L_{in_i}^{s_{in_i}}}$ is obtained by differentiating $\frac{1}{L_{i1}\cdots L_{in_i}}$ from which it follows that it is a F-linear combination of derivatives of $\frac{1}{M_\mu}$. The latter is a F-linear combination of multiple fractions of the form $\frac{1}{M_{\mu1}^{t_{\mu1}}\cdots M_{\mu n_\mu}^{t_{\mu n_\mu}}}$ with D_μ in the subdivision of C_i and $\frac{h_i}{L_{i1}^{s_{i1}}\cdots L_{in_i}^{s_{in_i}}}$ is a F-linear combination of fractions of the form $\frac{h_i}{M_{\mu1}^{t_{\mu1}}\cdots M_{\mu n_\mu}^{t_{\mu n_\mu}}}$ with D_μ in the subdivision of C_i.

Note that D_μ has the same linear span as C_i if D_μ appears in the subdivision of C_i. Hence the linear forms $L_{i1},\cdots,L_{in_i}$ and $M_{\mu1},\cdots,M_{\mu n_\mu}$ have the same linear span. Thus they are perpendicular to the linear forms in h_i. Therefore $\frac{h_i}{M_{\mu1}^{t_{\mu1}}\cdots M_{\mu n_\mu}^{t_{\mu n_\mu}}}$ lies in $\mathcal{M}_{F,-}(V_k^*\otimes\mathbb{C})$. □

4. A DECOMPOSITION OF THE SPACE OF MEROMORPHIC GERMS AT ZERO WITH LINEAR POLES

In this section, we establish our decomposition of the space of meromorphic germs, again F is a subfield of $\mathbb{R}$.

4.1. Reduction to simplicial supporting cones.

We begin with a reduction of a general fraction to a linear combination of simplicial fractions.

Lemma 4.1. *Let $L_1,\cdots,L_n$ be vectors in $\Lambda_k\otimes F$ and $s_1,\cdots,s_k$ be positive integers. Then the fraction $\frac{1}{L_1^{s_1}\cdots L_n^{s_n}}$ can be rewritten as a linear combination*

$$\sum_i\frac{a_i}{M_{i1}^{t_{i1}}\cdots M_{in_i}^{t_{in_i}}},$$

with $a_i\in F$ and a subset $\{M_{i1},\cdots,M_{in_i}\}$ of linearly independent subset of $\{L_1,\cdots,L_k\}$.

Before going to its proof, we illustrate the lemma with an example.

Example 4.2. Given two linearly independent linear forms L_1, L_2, since $\frac{1}{L_1L_2(L_1+L_2)} = \frac{1}{L_1(L_1+L_2)^2} + \frac{1}{L_2(L_1+L_2)^2}$ we have the following decomposition of $\frac{1}{L_1^2L_2^2(L_1+L_2)}$ into simple simplicial fractions:

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{L_1^2L_2^2(L_1+L_2)} &= \left(\frac{1}{L_1(L_1+L_2)} + \frac{1}{L_2(L_1+L_2)}\right)^2 \frac{1}{L_1+L_2} \\
&= \frac{1}{L_1^2(L_1+L_2)^3} + \frac{2}{L_1L_2(L_1+L_2)^3} + \frac{1}{L_2^2(L_1+L_2)^3} \\
&= \frac{1}{L_1^2(L_1+L_2)^3} + \frac{2}{L_1(L_1+L_2)^4} + \frac{2}{L_2(L_1+L_2)^4} + \frac{1}{L_2^2(L_1+L_2)^3}.
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. By multiplying together linear forms that are multiples of each other, we can assume that none of the L_i is a multiple of another. We use induction on the difference $d := n - \dim(\mathrm{lin}\{L_1, \cdots, L_n\})$. If $d = 0$, then there is nothing to prove. Suppose the lemma holds for all fractions in the lemma satisfying $d \leq N$ with $N \geq 0$ and consider a fraction with $d = N + 1$. Then $d \geq 1$ and thus there is a vector L_i in the fraction that is the linear combination of the other vectors in the fraction. By reordering the linear forms if necessarily, we can assume that there is $2 \leq r \leq n$ such that $L_1, \cdots, L_r$ are linearly independent and $L_{r+1} = \sum_{i=1}^r c_iL_i$ with $c_i \neq 0, 1 \leq i \leq r$.

To complete the proof, assume for now the following claim whose proof will be provided later.

Claim 4.3. *Let $L_1, \cdots, L_r, r \geq 2$ be linear independent and $L_{r+1} = \sum_{i=1}^r c_iL_i$ with $c_i \neq 0, 1 \leq i \leq r$. Then*

$$\frac{1}{L_1^{s_1} \cdots L_{r+1}^{s_{r+1}}} = \sum_j \frac{b_j}{N_{j1}^{t_{j1}} \cdots N_{jr}^{t_{jr}}}, \tag{8}$$

where b_j is in F and $\{N_{ji}, \cdots, N_{jr}\}$ for each j is one of $\{L_1, \cdots, \widehat{L_i}, \cdots, L_{r+1}\}, 1 \leq i \leq r$, and hence is linearly independent and spans $\mathrm{lin}\{L_1, \cdots, L_{r+1}\}$.

We continue with the inductive proof of Lemma 4.1. Applying Eq. (8) to the inductive proof on d, we have

$$\frac{1}{L_1^{s_1} \cdots L_n^{s_n}} = \sum_j \frac{b_j}{N_{j1}^{t_{j1}} \cdots N_{jr}^{t_{jr}} L_{r+2}^{s_{r+2}} \cdots L_n^{s_n}}.$$

Note that the linear forms in each fractions of the sum span the same space as that of $\{L_1, \ldots, L_{r+1}\}$. Thus by our inductive hypothesis on d, the lemma holds for each fraction in the sum. This completes the induction on d and hence the proof of the lemma.

Thus it remains to prove Claim 4.3. We proceed by induction on the sum $m := \sum_{j=1}^r s_j$. Since $s_i \geq 1$ for $1 \leq i \leq r + 1$, we begin with the case when $s_i = 1, 1 \leq i \leq r$, namely $m = r$. From the well-known relation

$$\frac{1}{L_1 \cdots L_r} = \sum_{i=1}^r \frac{c_i}{L_1 \cdots \widehat{L_i} \cdots L_r L_{r+1}}$$

where $\widehat{L_i}$ means that the factor L_i is omitted, we have

$$\frac{1}{L_1 \cdots L_r L_{r+1}^{s_{r+1}}} = \sum_{i=1}^r \frac{c_i}{L_1 \cdots \widehat{L_i} \cdots L_r L_{r+1}^{s_{r+1}+1}}. \tag{9}$$

Then the claim for this fraction follows since $\{L_1, \cdots, \widehat{L_i}, \cdots, L_{r+1}\}$ is linearly independent for $1 \leq i \leq r$.

Assume that the claim has been proved for the multiple fraction $\frac{1}{L_1^{s_1}\cdots L_{r+1}^{s_{r+1}}}$ when $m \leq M$ with $M \geq r$ and consider the fraction when $m = M+1$. Multiplying $1/L_1^{s_1-1}\cdots L_r^{s_r-1}$ to Eq. (9), we obtain

$$\frac{1}{L_1^{s_1}\cdots L_r^{s_r}L_{r+1}^{s_{r+1}}} = \sum_{i=1}^{r} \frac{c_i}{L_1^{s_1}\cdots L_i^{s_i-1}\cdots L_r^{s_r}L_{r+1}^{s_{r+1}+1}}.$$

Since the induction hypothesis can be applied to the fractions in the sum, the induction on m is completed, proving the claim for the fraction $\frac{1}{L_1^{s_1}\cdots L_{r+1}^{s_{r+1}}}$. □

4.2. **Decomposition of germs.** The following decomposition generalizes Laurent expansion of meromorphic functions in one variable and the Rota-Baxter decomposition $\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{C}) = \mathcal{M}_-(\mathbb{C}) \oplus \mathcal{M}_+(\mathbb{C})$ of the space of Laurent series at 0 given by the projection onto the holomorphic part of the Laurent series.

Theorem 4.4. *Let (V, Λ_V) be a filtered rational F-Euclidean space, we have*

(a) *For $1 \leq k < \infty$, we have the direct sum decomposition*

$$\mathcal{M}_F(V_k^* \otimes \mathbb{C}) = \mathcal{M}_{F,-}(V_k^* \otimes \mathbb{C}) \oplus \mathcal{M}_{F,+}(V_k^* \otimes \mathbb{C}).$$

In particular, an element $f = \frac{h}{L_1\cdots L_n}$ in $\mathcal{M}_F(V_k^* \otimes \mathbb{C})$ can be written as a sum

$$f = \sum_i \left(\frac{h_i(\vec{\ell}_i)}{\vec{L}_i^{\vec{s}_i}} + \phi_i(\vec{\ell}_i, \vec{L}_i) \right) \tag{10}$$

where for each i,

(i) $|\vec{s}_i| > 0$,

(ii) $\vec{L}_i = (L_{i1}, \cdots, L_{im_i})$ *where* $\{L_{i1}, \cdots, L_{im_i}\}$ *is a linear independent subset of* $\{L_1, \cdots, L_k\}$,

(iii) $\vec{\ell}_i = (\ell_{i(m_i+1)}, \cdots \ell_{ik})$ *where* $\{\ell_{i(m_i+1)}, \cdots \ell_{ik}\}$ *is a basis with F-coefficients of the orthogonal complement of the subspace spanned by $\vec{L}_i$ with respect to the inner product Q,*

(iv) $h_i(\vec{\ell}_i)$ *is holomorphic in the independent variables $\vec{\ell}_i$ (reduced to constant when $k = 1$) whose Taylor series expansion has coefficients in F, so that $\frac{h_i(\vec{\ell}_i)}{\vec{L}_i^{\vec{s}_i}}$ lies in $\mathcal{M}_{F,-}(V_k^* \otimes \mathbb{C})$,*

(v) ϕ_i *is a germ of holomorphic function in the independent variables $\vec{\ell}_i$ and $\vec{L}_i$.*

(b) *Taking a direct limit yields*

$$\mathcal{M}_F(V^{\circledast} \otimes \mathbb{C}) = \mathcal{M}_{F,-}(V^{\circledast} \otimes \mathbb{C}) \oplus \mathcal{M}_{F,+}(V^{\circledast} \otimes \mathbb{C}).$$

(c) *The projection map*

$$\pi_+ : \mathcal{M}_F(V^* \otimes \mathbb{C}) \to \mathcal{M}_{F,+}(V^* \otimes \mathbb{C}) \tag{11}$$

onto $\mathcal{M}_{F,+}(V^{\circledast} \otimes \mathbb{C})$ along $\mathcal{M}_{F,-}(V^{\circledast} \otimes \mathbb{C})$ factorizes on perpendicular functions. More precisely, with the notation of Eq. (10), let

$$f = \sum_i \left(\frac{h_i(\vec{\ell}_i)}{\vec{L}_i^{\vec{s}_i}} + \phi_i(\vec{\ell}_i, \vec{L}_i) \right) \quad \text{and } g = \sum_j \left(\frac{k_j(\vec{m}_j)}{\vec{M}_j^{\vec{t}_j}} + \psi_j(\vec{m}_j, \vec{M}_j) \right) \tag{12}$$

be two germs of functions in $\mathcal{M}_F(V^{\circledast}\otimes\mathbb{C})$ *with the property that the linear forms in* $\{\vec{L}_i, \vec{\ell}_i\}$ *are perpendicular to those in* $\{\vec{M}_j, \vec{m}_j\}$ *for any i, j. We have*

$$\pi_+(f\,g) = \pi_+(f)\,\pi_+(g). \tag{13}$$

Before giving the proof, let us illustrate the statement with an example.

Example 4.5. Take the standard inner product. Since $\frac{1}{L_1L_2(L_1+L_2)} = \frac{1}{L_1(L_1+L_2)^2} + \frac{1}{L_2(L_1+L_2)^2}$, for any real number c we have

$$\begin{aligned} f_c := \frac{(L_1+L_2+c)^3}{L_1L_2(L_1+L_2)} &= \frac{(L_1+L_2)^3+3c\,(L_1+L_2)^2+3c^2\,(L_1+L_2)+c^3}{L_1L_2(L_1+L_2)} \\ &= 2+\frac{L_2}{L_1}+\frac{3c}{L_1}+\frac{3c^2}{L_1(L_1+L_2)}+\frac{c^3}{L_1(L_1+L_2)^2} \\ &+ \frac{L_1}{L_2}+\frac{3c}{L_2}+\frac{3c^2}{L_2(L_1+L_2)}+\frac{c^3}{L_2(L_1+L_2)^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $\pi_+(f_c) = 2$.

Remark 4.6. The holomorphic functions h_i and ϕ_i in the decomposition (10) depend on the choice of inner product Q.

Proof. (a) We prove the statement for $1 \le k < \infty$. Then taking the direct limit gives the result for any filtered space.

Let $1 \le k < \infty$ be given. We first verify the decomposition

$$\mathcal{M}_F(V_k^*\otimes\mathbb{C}) = \mathcal{M}_{F,-}(V_k^*\otimes\mathbb{C}) + \mathcal{M}_{F,+}(V_k^*\otimes\mathbb{C}).$$

Thanks to Lemma 4.1, without loss of generality we can reduce the proof to germs of functions of the type

$$f = \frac{h}{L_1^{s_1}\cdots L_m^{s_m}}$$

with $h \in \mathcal{M}_{F,+}(V_k^*\otimes\mathbb{C})$, linearly independent linear forms $L_1,\cdots,L_m \in \Lambda_k\otimes F$ and $s_1,\cdots,s_m$ positive integers. Then we extend $\{L_1,\cdots,L_m\}$ to a basis $\{L_1,\cdots,L_m,\ell_1,\cdots,\ell_{k-m}\}$ of $\Lambda_k\otimes F$ with the additional property that

$$Q(L_i,\ell_j) = 0, \quad 1 \le i \le m, 1 \le j \le k-m.$$

Note that for $k = 1$, this decomposition corresponds to the minimal subtraction scheme decomposition $\frac{h(L_1)}{L_1} = \frac{h(0)}{L_1} + \frac{h(L_1)-h(0)}{L_1}$. To prove the general case we proceed by induction on the sum $s = s_1+\cdots+s_m$. If $s = 1$, then $m = 1$, and $s_1 = 1$. We write

$$\frac{h(L_1,\ell_1,\cdots,\ell_{k-1})}{L_1} = \frac{h(0,\ell_1,\cdots,\ell_{k-1})}{L_1} + \frac{h(L_1,\ell_1,\cdots,\ell_{k-1})-h(0,\ell_1,\cdots,\ell_{k-1})}{L_1}.$$

The first term lies in $\mathcal{M}_{F,-}(\mathbb{C}^k)$ as a consequence of the orthogonality of L_1 with the ℓ_i's. The second term

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{h(L_1,\ell_1,\cdots,\ell_{k-1})-h(0,\ell_1,\cdots,\ell_{k-1})}{L_1} \\ = &\frac{h(L_1,\ell_1,\cdots,\ell_{k-1})(\varepsilon_1L_1^*+\varepsilon_2\ell_1^*+\cdots+\varepsilon_k\ell_{k-1}^*)-h(L_1,\ell_1,\cdots,\ell_{k-1})(\varepsilon_2\ell_1^*+\cdots+\varepsilon_k\ell_{k-1}^*)}{\varepsilon_1} \end{aligned}$$

is holomorphic at 0. This yields the required decomposition.

Assume that the decomposition exists for all element with $s \leq t$ where $t \geq 1$ and consider $f = \frac{h}{L_1^{s_1}\cdots L_m^{s_m}}$ with $s = s_1 + \cdots + s_m = t+1$. We note that

$$f := g_0 + g_1 + \cdots + g_m,$$

where we have set

$$g_i := \begin{cases} \dfrac{h(0,\cdots,0,\ell_1,\cdots,\ell_{k-m})}{L_1^{s_1}\cdots L_m^{s_m}}, & i=0, \\ \dfrac{f_i}{L_1^{s_1}\cdots L_i^{s_i-1}\cdots L_m^{s_m}}, & 1\leq i\leq m, \end{cases}$$

with

$$f_i := \frac{h(L_1,\cdots,L_i,0,\cdots,0,\ell_1,\cdots,\ell_{k-m}) - h(L_1,\cdots,L_{i-1},0,\cdots,0,\ell_1,\cdots,\ell_{k-m})}{L_i}, \quad 1\leq i\leq m.$$

Then g_0 lies in $\mathcal{M}_{F,-}(V_k^*\otimes\mathbb{C})$. Further, for $i = 1,\cdots,m$, f_i is holomorphic at 0. Thus it follows from the induction hypothesis that g_i lies in $\mathcal{M}_{F,-}(V_k^*\otimes\mathbb{C}) + \mathcal{M}_{F,+}(V_k^*\otimes\mathbb{C})$. Hence f lies in $\mathcal{M}_{F,-}(V_k^*\otimes\mathbb{C}) + \mathcal{M}_{F,+}(V_k^*\otimes\mathbb{C})$. This completes the proof for the sum decomposition $\mathcal{M}_F(V_k^*\otimes\mathbb{C}) = \mathcal{M}_{F,-}(V_k^*\otimes\mathbb{C}) + \mathcal{M}_{F,+}(V_k^*\otimes\mathbb{C})$.

We next show that $\mathcal{M}_{F,-}(V_k^*\otimes\mathbb{C}) \cap \mathcal{M}_{F,+}(V_k^*\otimes\mathbb{C}) = \{0\}$. Suppose that there is $0 \neq f \in \mathcal{M}_{F,-}(V_k^*\otimes\mathbb{C}) \cap \mathcal{M}_{F,+}(V_k^*\otimes\mathbb{C})$. Then f is holomorphic. On the other hand, by Lemma 3.24, the element $f \in \mathcal{M}_{F,-}(V_k^*\otimes\mathbb{C})$ can be written as a linear combination $\sum_i a_i S_i$ of polar germs S_i with supporting cones satisfying the condition in Theorem 3.21. Then applying Theorem 3.21 to $\sum_i a_i S_i = f$, we get $a_i = 0$ for all i. This is a contradiction.

(b) The statement follows from the compatibility of the decomposition with the filtration.

(c) Let f and g be as in Eq. (12). We have

$$fg = \sum_{i,j}\left(\frac{h_i(\vec{\ell}_i)}{\vec{L}_i^{\vec{s}_i}}\frac{k_j(\vec{m}_j)}{\vec{M}_j^{\vec{t}_j}} + \phi_i(\vec{\ell}_i,\vec{L}_i)\frac{k_j(\vec{m}_j)}{\vec{M}_j^{\vec{t}_j}} + \frac{h_i(\vec{\ell}_i)}{\vec{L}_i^{\vec{s}_i}}\psi_j(\vec{m}_j,\vec{M}_j) + \phi_i(\vec{\ell}_i,\vec{L}_i)\psi_j(\vec{m}_j,\vec{M}_j)\right).$$

The first three terms in each sum lie in $\mathcal{M}_{F,-}(V^{\circledast}\otimes\mathbb{C})$ and the last term lies in $\mathcal{M}_{F,+}(V^{\circledast}\otimes\mathbb{C})$. Hence

$$\pi_+(fg) = \sum_{i,j}\phi_i(\vec{\ell}_i,\vec{L}_i)\psi_j(\vec{m}_j,\vec{M}_j) = \left(\sum_i \phi_i(\vec{\ell}_i,\vec{L}_i)\right)\left(\sum_j \psi_j(\vec{m}_j,\vec{M}_j)\right) = \pi_+(f)\pi_+(g).$$

□

Combining the previous results leads to the following existence and uniqueness result.

Corollary 4.7. *Any element f of $\mathcal{M}_F(V^{\circledast}\otimes\mathbb{C}))$ can be written as*

$$f = \sum_i S_i + h,$$

where h is holomorphic and the S_i's are polar germs satisfying the following requirements

- *their supporting cones are properly positioned,*
- *their denominators are pairwise not proportional,*
- *the linear forms in their denominators are pseudo-positive.*

If two such decompositions have the same properly positioned supporting cones, then they are the same decomposition.

Proof. The existence follows from Theorem 4.4.

Let $f = \sum_i S_i + h = T_j + g$ be two decompositions satisfy the listed conditions, and the set of supporting cones of $\{S_i\}$ and $\{T_j\}$ are the same, then

$$\sum S_i - \sum T_j + h - g = 0.$$

So by the non-holomorphicity theorem 3.21, we have

$$g = h.$$

Now the uniqueness of the decomposition follows from Corollary 3.23. □

4.3. **Compatibility of the decomposition with subdivisions.** This part studies the change of a decomposition of a germ under a proper F-subdivision of the supporting cones.

Proposition 4.8. *Let $S = \frac{h(\ell_1,\cdots,\ell_m)}{L_1^{s_1}\cdots L_n^{s_n}}$ be a polar germ with coefficients in F. Let $\{D_1, \cdots, D_r\}$ be an F-subdivision of the supporting cone C of S. Then $S = \sum_{j=1}^r S_j$ where each S_j is a polar germ whose supporting cone is the cone D_j.*

Proof. Let C be the supporting cone of the polar germ S with coefficients in F, we have

$$\Phi(C) = \frac{a}{L_1 \cdots L_n}$$

for some constant $a \in F$. Since Φ is additive on subdivisions, we also have

$$\Phi(C) = \sum_{j=1}^{r} \Phi(D_j).$$

Assume that $D_j = \langle L_{j1}, \cdots, L_{jn}\rangle$. Then $\Phi(D_j) = \frac{b_j}{L_{j1}\cdots L_{jn}}$ with $b_j \in F$ and

$$\frac{1}{(s_1-1)!\cdots(s_k-1)!}\partial_{L_1^*}^{s_1-1}\cdots\partial_{L_k^*}^{s_k-1}\frac{1}{L_{j1}\cdots L_{jn}} = \sum_{r_{j1}+\cdots+r_{jn}=s_1+\cdots+s_n}\frac{c_{r_{j1}\cdots r_{jn}}}{L_{j1}^{r_{j1}}\cdots L_{jn}^{r_{j1}}},$$

where $c_{r_{j1}\cdots r_{jn}}$ are constants in F. Now by Proposition 3.10, we have

$$\begin{aligned} S &= \frac{h(\ell_1,\cdots,\ell_m)}{L_1^{s_1}\cdots L_k^{s_k}} \\ &= \frac{h(\ell_1,\cdots,\ell_m)}{(s_1-1)!\cdots(s_k-1)!}\partial_{L_1^*}^{s_1-1}\cdots\partial_{L_k^*}^{s_k-1}\frac{1}{L_1\cdots L_k} \\ &= \frac{h(\ell_1,\cdots,\ell_m)}{a(s_1-1)!\cdots(s_k-1)!}\sum_{j=1}^{r} b_j\partial_{L_1^*}^{s_1-1}\cdots\partial_{L_k^*}^{s_k-1}\frac{1}{L_{j1}\cdots L_{jn}} \\ &= \frac{h(\ell_1,\cdots,\ell_m)}{a}\sum_{j=1}^{r} b_j \sum_{r_{j1}+\cdots+r_{jn}=s_1+\cdots+s_n}\frac{c_{r_{j1}\cdots r_{jn}}}{L_{j1}^{r_{j1}}\cdots L_{jn}^{r_{j1}}}. \end{aligned}$$

By assumption, $\ell_i, i = 1, \cdots, m$ is perpendicular to $L_1, \cdots, L_n$, so it is perpendicular to $L_{j1}, \cdots, L_{jn}$ for any $j \in \{1, \ldots, r\}$. So the above formula yields a decomposition of S whose supporting cones are among the subdivided cones. Combining the various polar germs arising in the decomposition then yields the statement of the proposition. □

5. The p-orders and p-residues for germs of meromorphic functions at zero with linear poles

Based on the decomposition in Section 4, we now introduce a filtered structure on $\mathcal{M}_F(V^\circledast \otimes \mathbb{C})$, define p-orders and p-residues for germs of meromorphic functions at zero with linear poles. We only deal with real coefficients since the results for coefficients in a subfield F immediately follow from the case $F = \mathbb{R}$.

5.1. p-orders of germs.

Let us first define the p-order of germs of meromorphic functions with linear poles.

Definition 5.1. The **polar order**, or **p-order** in short, of the germ $\frac{h(\ell_1,\cdots,\ell_m)}{L_1^{s_1}\cdots L_n^{s_n}}$ is defined to be

$$\text{p-ord}\frac{h(\ell_1,\cdots,\ell_m)}{L_1^{s_1}\cdots L_n^{s_n}} := \sum_{i=1}^n s_i.$$

Definition 5.2. Let $f \in \mathcal{M}(V^\circledast \otimes \mathbb{C})$. By Corollary 4.7 f decomposes as

$$f = \sum_{i=1}^n S_i + h. \tag{14}$$

In particular, h is a holomorphic germ and $S_i, i = 1, \cdots, n$, are polar germs whose denominators are not proportional to each other and whose supporting cones are properly positioned. We define the **polar order**, or **p-order** in short, of f to be

$$\text{p-ord}(f) := \text{Max}(\text{p-ord}(S_i)).$$

Example 5.3. The p-order of f_c in Example 4.5 is 1 if $c = 0$ and 3 otherwise.

Lemma 5.4. *Any two sets of cones with pseudo-positive generators have a common subdivision that is properly positioned.*

Proof. Since the generators of any cone in the family are pseudo-positive, the union of any set of faces can not contain a nonzero linear subspace. Thus the proof is the same as that of Lemma 3.1. □

Proposition 5.5. *The p-order of a germ of meromorphic function with linear poles does not depend on any choice of a decomposition of the germ in Eq. (14).*

Proof. By Lemma 5.4, Proposition 4.8 and Corollary 4.7, we only need to prove that the p-order does not change under subdivision.

For $f \in \mathcal{M}(V^\circledast \otimes \mathbb{C})$, write the decomposition of f in Eq. (14) as

$$f = \sum_{i=1}^r S_i + \sum_{j=r+1}^n S_j + h$$

by listing the polar germs with the largest p-order p in the first sum and those with lesser p-order in the second sum. Now under a subdivision of the supporting cones, just as in the discussion before Proposition 4.8, subdivisions of the supporting cones yield decompositions of polar germs $S_i = \sum_\ell S_{i\ell}$, $S_j = \sum_m S_{jm}$. Then

$$f = \sum_{i,\ell} S_{i\ell} + \sum_{j,m} S_{jm} + h.$$

Clearly, every polar germ in the second sum has p-order less that p. So this part can not cancel with the part of polar germs of p-order p, if there is any.

Since $S_i \neq 0$, by the non-holomorphicity theorem 3.21, we have $\sum_{i=1}^r S_i \neq 0$. Thus $\sum S_{i\ell} = \sum S_i \neq 0$. Therefore some $S_{i\ell}$ is not zero, does not cancel with other polar fractions and is of p-order p. Therefore in the decomposition obtained by this subdivision, the p-order is p, as desired. □

We next establish the independence of the p-order on the choice of inner product.

Proposition 5.6. *The p-order of a meromorphic germ with linear poles does not depend on the choice of an inner product in the decomposition of* $\mathcal{M}(V^{\circledast} \otimes \mathbb{C})$ *in Theorem 4.4.*

Proof. For an inner product Q in V, and $f \in \mathcal{M}(V^{\circledast} \otimes \mathbb{C})$ with p-ord$(f) = p$, let

$$f = \sum_{i=1}^{r} S_i + \sum_{i=r+1}^{n} S_i + h$$

be a decomposition of f into polar germs S_i and a holomorphic function h as in Definition 5.4, with polar germs with the highest order in the first sum and those with lesser order in the second sum.

Now consider a different inner product R on V. For this inner product, an S_i might not be a polar germ. Set

$$S_i = \frac{h_i(\ell_{i1}, \cdots, \ell_{im_i})}{L_{i1}^{s_{i1}} \cdots L_{in_i}^{s_{in_i}}},$$

with $Q(\ell_{ip}, L_{iq}) = 0$. For $j = 1, \cdots, m_i$, we have

$$\ell_{ij} = \ell'_{ij} - \sum_{k=1}^{n_i} a_{ij}^k L_{ik},$$

where $R(\ell'_{ij}, L_{ik}) = 0$ for $k = 1, \cdots, n_i$. Then

$$S_i = \frac{h_i(\ell'_{i1}, \cdots, \ell'_{im_i})}{L_{i1}^{s_{i1}} \cdots L_{in_i}^{s_{in_i}}} + \text{terms of lower denominator degrees.}$$

Thus

$$f = \sum_{i=1}^{r} \frac{h_i(\ell'_{i1}, \cdots, \ell'_{im_i})}{L_{i1}^{s_{i1}} \cdots L_{in_i}^{s_{in_i}}} + \text{terms of lower denominator degrees.} \tag{15}$$

This gives a decomposition of f into a linear combination of polar germs for the inner product R.

Note the supporting cones in the above sum are faces of the supporting cones in the decomposition of f under the inner product Q. So they remain properly positioned. Since $h_i(\ell_{i1}, \cdots, \ell_{im_i}) \neq 0$, we also have $h_i(\ell'_{i1}, \cdots, \ell'_{im_i}) \neq 0$. Therefore under the inner product R, the p-order of f is again p. □

Remark 5.7. The proof of this proposition actually shows how the terms of p-order p change as the inner products change.

5.2. The residue on $\mathcal{M}(V^{\circledast} \otimes \mathbb{C})$.

Using the p-order, we define a filtration in $\mathcal{M}(V^{\circledast} \otimes \mathbb{C})$.

Definition 5.8. For $n \geq 0$, define

$$\mathcal{M}_n := \{f \in \mathcal{M}(V^{\circledast} \otimes \mathbb{C}) \mid \text{p-ord}(f) \leq n\}.$$

Obviously, we have

$$\mathcal{M} = \bigcup_{i=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{M}_i,$$

and

$$\mathcal{M}_i \mathcal{M}_j \subset \mathcal{M}_{i+j}$$

for the usual product of functions. Therefore,

Proposition 5.9. *$\mathcal{M}_0 := \mathcal{M}_+(V^\circledast \otimes \mathbb{C}) \subset \mathcal{M}_1 \subset \mathcal{M}_2 \subset \cdots$ equips $\mathcal{M}(V^\circledast \otimes \mathbb{C})$ with the structure of a filtered algebra.*

With this filtration, we can define a residue on $\mathcal{M}(V^\circledast \otimes \mathbb{C})$.

Definition 5.10. Let $f \in \mathcal{M}(V^\circledast \otimes \mathbb{C})$ with the decomposition

$$f = \sum_i S_i + h$$

as in Definition 5.4 and with p-ord$(f) = r$. Let $S_1, \cdots, S_t$ the polar germs with p-ord$(S_i) = r$ and let $S_i = \frac{h_i}{L_{i1}^{s_{i1}} \cdots L_{in_i}^{s_{in_i}}}$. We define the **highest polar order residue**, or the **p-residue** in short, of f to be

$$\text{p-res}(f) = \sum_{i=1}^{t} \frac{h_i(0)}{L_{i1}^{s_{i1}} \cdots L_{in_i}^{s_{in_i}}}.$$

Example 5.11. The function f_c as in Example 4.5 has p-residue given by p-res$(f_c) = \frac{L_2}{L_1} + \frac{L_1}{L_2}$ if $c = 0$ and p-res$(f_c) = \frac{c^3}{L_1(L_1+L_2)^2} + \frac{c^3}{L_2(L_1+L_2)^2}$ if $c \neq 0$.

Proposition 5.12. *The p-residue of a germ of meromorphic function with linear poles is well-defined. Indeed, it does not depend on a choice of the decomposition in Eq. (14) or the inner product used in the decomposition of $\mathcal{M}(V^\circledast \otimes \mathbb{C})$ in Theorem 4.4.*

Proof. We first prove that the p-residue does not depend on a particular choice of the decomposition of f. Just as in the the proof of Proposition 5.5, by Lemma 5.4, Proposition 4.8 and Corollary 4.7, we only need prove that the p-residue does not change under subdivision.

For $f \in \mathcal{M}(V^\circledast \otimes \mathbb{C})$ with a given decomposition as in Eq. (14), let

$$S = \frac{h(\ell_1, \cdots, \ell_m)}{L_1^{s_1} \cdots L_n^{s_n}}$$

be one of the polar germ in the decomposition with p-ord(S) = p-ord(f). Then the supporting cone is $\langle L_1, \cdots, L_n \rangle$. For a fixed subdivision of the set of supporting cones, let the subdivision for $\langle L_1, \cdots, L_n \rangle$ be $\{D_j := \langle L_{j1}, \cdots, L_{jn} \rangle\}$. Then

$$\frac{1}{L_1 \cdots L_n} = \sum_j \frac{b_j}{L_{j1} \cdots L_{jn}},$$

where b_j's are constants. Assume

$$\frac{1}{(s_1-1)! \cdots (s_k-1)!} \partial_{L_1^*}^{s_1-1} \cdots \partial_{L_k^*}^{s_k-1} \frac{1}{L_{j1}, \cdots, L_{jn}} = \sum_{r_{j1}+\cdots+r_{jn}=s_1+\cdots+s_n} \frac{c_{r_{j1}\cdots r_{jn}}}{L_{j1}^{r_{j1}}, \cdots, L_{jn}^{r_{j1}}},$$

where $c_{r_{j1}\cdots r_{jn}}$'s are constants. Then

$$S = h(\ell_1, \cdots, \ell_m) \sum_j b_j \sum_{r_{j1}+\cdots+r_{jn}=s_1+\cdots+s_n} \frac{c_{r_{j1}\cdots r_{jn}}}{L_{j1}^{r_{j1}}, \cdots, L_{jn}^{r_{j1}}}$$

is the new decomposition of S with supporting cones $\{D_j\}$.

The contribution of the polar fraction S to the p-residue of f is $\frac{h(0)}{L_1^{s_1}\cdots L_n^{s_n}}$. The contribution from the new decomposition of S is

$$h(0) \sum_j b_j \sum_{r_{j1}+\cdots+r_{jn}=s_1+\cdots+s_n} \frac{c_{r_{j1}\cdots r_{jn}}}{L_{j1}^{r_{j1}}, \cdots, L_{jn}^{r_{j1}}}$$

which agrees with the first contribution. Therefore the p-residue does not depend on the decomposition.

For a different choice of inner product, Eq. (15) tells us how the polar germs of p-order p-ord(f) change. In particular, the constant terms of the numerators remain the same. This exactly means that the p-residue does not depend on the choice of inner products. □

To simplify the notation, we set

$$S(0) := \frac{h(0)}{L_1^{s_1} \cdots L_k^{s_k}}$$

for a polar germ $S = \frac{h(\ell_1,\cdots,\ell_m)}{L_1^{s_1}\cdots L_k^{s_k}}$.

Proposition 5.13. *Let $f = \sum S_i + \sum T_j + h$, with S_i, T_j polar germs, h holomorphic, and* p-ord(S_i)*'s all equal to k, $\sum S_i \neq 0$,* p-ord$(T_j) < k$. *Then* p-ord$(f) = k$ *and*

$$\text{p-res}(f) = \sum S_i(0).$$

Proof. Taking a subdivision of the set of supporting cones of the germs S_i's and T_j's, we have $S_i = \sum S_{il}$ and $T_j = \sum T_{jm}$. Then

$$f = \sum S_{il} + \sum T_{jm} + h.$$

Combining terms that are proportional to one another, we can assume that this decomposition satisfies the conditions in Definition 5.4. In the decomposition

$$\sum_{i,l} S_{il} = \sum_i S_i \neq 0,$$

there is some non zero polar germ of p-order k, and this is the maximal of p-orders of polar germs, so p-ord$(f) = k$.

Therefore

$$\text{p-res}(f) = \sum S_{il}(0) = \sum S_i(0).$$

□

By construction, this p-residue has a weak multiplicative property.

Proposition 5.14. *Let $f = f(L_1, \cdots L_k)$ and $g = g(L'_1, \cdots, L'_n) \in \mathcal{M}(V^{\circledast} \otimes \mathbb{C})$, with $Q(L_i, L'_j) = 0$, then*

$$\text{p-res}(fg) = \text{p-res}(f)\,\text{p-res}(g).$$

6. The p-residues of exponential sum on lattice cone

As in [8], we can reinterpret the constructions of [1, 5, 11] in terms of lattice cones, so to a lattice cone (C, Λ_C) we can assign two meromorphic functions, the exponential discrete sum $S(C, \Lambda_C)$ (corresponding to $S^c(C, \Lambda_C)$ in [8]) and the map $I(C, \Lambda_C)$. A direct computation of the p-residue of the discrete sum on a smooth lattice cones yields the corresponding integral given by $I(C, \Lambda_C)$.

Lemma 6.1. *For a smooth lattice cone* (C, Λ_C)*, we have*

$$\text{p-res}(S(C, \Lambda_C)) = I(C, \Lambda_C).$$

In fact, we have

$$S(C, \Lambda_C) = \Phi(C, \Lambda_C) + (\text{terms of p} - \text{order} < \dim(C)).$$

Proof. Let $v_1, \cdots, v_d(C)$ (where $d = \dim C$) be a basis of Λ_C that generates C as a cone. Then

$$S(C, \Lambda_C)(\vec{\varepsilon}) = \prod_{i=1}^{d} \frac{1}{1 - e^{\langle v_i, \vec{\varepsilon}\rangle}} = \prod_{i=1}^{d} \left(-\frac{1}{\langle v_i, \vec{\varepsilon}\rangle} + h(\langle v_i, \vec{\varepsilon}\rangle) \right),$$

where h is holomorphic. So the highest p-order term is $\prod_{i=1}^{d} \left(-\frac{1}{\langle v_i, \vec{\varepsilon}\rangle} \right)$ which is $I(C, \Lambda_C)$. □

Lemma 6.2. *For a cone C, $\Phi(C) \neq 0$ if and only if C is strongly convex.*

Proof. We already know that $I(C, \Lambda_C) = 0$ if C is not strongly convex. So we only need to prove that if C is strongly convex, then $I(C, \Lambda_C) \neq 0$. Taking a smooth subdivision $\{C_i\}$ of C, then since C is strongly convex, $\{C_i\}$ is properly positioned. So $I(C_i, \Lambda_{C_i})$'s are linearly independent. Then their sum can not be 0. □

Lemma 6.3. *For a lattice cone* (C, Λ_C)*,* $S(C, \Lambda_C) \neq 0$ *if and only if* (C, Λ_C) *is strongly convex.*

Proof. Again we only need to prove that if (C, Λ_C) is strongly convex, then $S(C, \Lambda_C) \neq 0$. Taking a smooth subdivision $\{(C_i, \Lambda_C)\}$ of (C, Λ_C), then since C is strongly convex, $\{C_i\}$ is properly positioned, so $\Phi(C_i)$'s are linearly independent.

We know

$$S(C, \Lambda_C) = \sum_i S(C_i, \Lambda_C) + (\text{terms with p-order} < \dim(C)),$$

and

$$S(C_i, \Lambda_C) = \Phi(C_i, \Lambda_C) + (\text{terms with p-order} < \dim(C)).$$

By Proposition 5.13, p-ord$(S(C, \Lambda_C)) < \dim(\mathrm{C})$ implies

$$\sum_i \Phi(C_i, \Lambda_C) = 0,$$

which is a contradiction. So p-ord$(S(C, \Lambda_C)) = \dim(\mathrm{C})$, and $S(C, \Lambda_C) \neq 0$. □

At the same time, we have proved that

Lemma 6.4. *For a strongly convex lattice cone* (C, Λ_C)*,*

$$\text{p-ord}(S(C, \Lambda_C)) = \dim(C).$$

Theorem 6.5. *For a lattice cone* (C, Λ_C) *and its subdivision* $\{(C_i, \Lambda_C)\}$*, we have*

$$\text{p-res}(S(C, \Lambda_C)) = \sum_i \text{p-res}(S(C_i, \Lambda_{C_i})).$$

So the map $\text{p-res} \circ S$ *is compatible with subdivisions.*

Proof. For this subdivision, we have

$$S(C, \Lambda_C) = \sum_i S(C_i, \Lambda_C) + (\text{terms of p-order} < \dim(C)),$$

and

$$S(C_i, \Lambda_C) = \sum_j T_{ij} + (\text{terms of p-order} < \dim(C)),$$

where T_{ij} are polar germs, $\text{p-ord}(T_{ij}) = \dim(C)$. So

$$S(C, \Lambda_C) = \sum_{i,j} T_{ij} + (\text{terms of p-order} < \dim(C)).$$

If C is strongly convex, then $\text{p-ord}(S(C, \Lambda_C)) = \dim(\mathrm{C})$, and

$$\text{p-res}(S(C, \Lambda_C)) = \sum_{i,j} T_{ij}(0) = \sum_i \text{p-res}(S(C_i, \Lambda_C))$$

by Proposition 5.13.

If C is not strongly convex, then $S(C, \Lambda_C) = 0$; on the other hand by Proposition 5.13, this means $\sum_{i,j} T_{ij} = 0$, that is $\sum_i \text{p-res}(S(C_i, \Lambda_C)) = 0$.

So in any case, we have the conclusion. ☐

As a corollary, we obtain

Corollary 6.6. *For a lattice cone* (C, Λ_C)*, we have*

$$\text{p-res}(S(C, \Lambda_C)) = \Phi(C, \Lambda_C).$$

Example 6.7. Take $\Lambda = \mathbb{Z}^2 \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ and $C = \langle e_1, e_1 + e_2 \rangle$ with (e_1, e_2) the canonical orthonormal basis in $\mathbb{R}^2$. Then $S^c(C, \Lambda_C) = \dfrac{1}{(1 - e^{\varepsilon_1})(1 - e^{\varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2})}$ has p-order 2 and p-residue $\Phi(C, \Lambda_C) = \dfrac{1}{\varepsilon_1(\varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2)}$.

Acknowledgements: The authors acknowledge supports from the Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 11071176, 11221101 and 11371178) and the National Science Foundation of US (Grant No. DMS 1001855). Part of the work was completed during visits of two of the authors at Sichuan University, Lanzhou University and Capital Normal University, to which they are very grateful.

References

[1] N. Berline and M. Vergne, Local Euler-Maclaurin formula for polytopes, *Mosc. Math. J.* **7** (2007) 355-386. 2, 3, 24

[2] A. Connes and D. Kreimer, Hopf algebras, Renormalization and Noncommutative Geometry, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **199** (1988) 203-242. 2

[3] Pierre Deligne et al. (eds.), Quantum Field Theory and Strings: A Course for Mathematicians, Vols. 1 and 2, AMS/IAS, 1999 2

[4] K. Ebrahimi-Fard, L. Guo and D. Kreimer, Spitzer's identity and the algebraic Birkhoff decomposition in pQFT, *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **37** (2004) 11037-11052. 2

[5] S. Garoufalidis and J. Pommersheim, Sum-integral interpolators and the Euler-Maclaurin formula for polytopes, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **364** (2012) 2933-2958. 2, 24

[6] L. Guo, An Introduction to Rota-Baxter Algebra, International Press, 2012. 2

[7] L. Guo, S. Paycha and B. Zhang, Conical zeta values and their double subdivision relations, *Adv. in Math.* **252** (2014) 343-381. 2, 6, 7, 8, 10, 14

[8] L. Guo, S. Paycha and B. Zhang, Renormalization and the Euler-Maclaurin formula on cones, arXiv:1306.3420. 1, 3, 8, 24

[9] L. Guo and B. Zhang, Renormalization of multiple zeta values *J. Algebra* **319** (2008) 3770-3809. 2

[10] M. E. Hoffman, Multiple harmonic series, *Pacific J. Math.*, **152** (1992), no. 2, 275–290. 2

[11] J. Lawrence, Rational-function-valued valuations on polyhedra, DIMACS Ser. Discrete Math. Theoret. Comput. Sci.,6 (1991) 199C208. 24

[12] D. Manchon and S. Paycha, Nested sums of symbols and renormalised multiple zeta values, Int. Math. Res. Papers 2010 issue 24, 4628-4697 (2010). 2

[13] K. Matsumoto, The analytic continuation and the asymptotic behaviour of certain multiple zeta-functions I, *J. Number Theory*, **101** (2003), 223–243. 2

[14] E. Speer, Analytic renormalization using many space-time dimensions, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **37** (1974), 83-92 (free access: http://projecteuclid.org/euclid.cmp/1103859813) 2

[15] D. Zagier, Values of zeta functions and their applications, First European Congress of Mathematics, Vol. II (Paris, 1992), 497–512, *Progr. Math.*, **120**, Birkhuser, Basel, 1994 2

[16] J. Zhao, Analytic continuation of multiple zeta functions. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **128** (2000), 1275-1283. 2

Department of Mathematics and Computer Science, Rutgers University, Newark, NJ 07102, USA
E-mail address: `liguo@rutgers.edu`

Institute of Mathematics, University of Potsdam, Am Neuen Palais 10, D-14469 Potsdam, Germany
E-mail address: `paycha@math.uni-potsdam.de`

School of Mathematics, Yangtze Center of Mathematics, Sichuan University, Chengdu, 610064, P. R. China
E-mail address: `zhangbin@scu.edu.cn`